

## References

1. Friedlingstein et al. (2025) Global Carbon Budget 2025. Earth System Science Data. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2025-659>
2. NASEM. A Research Strategy for Ocean-based Carbon Dioxide Removal and Sequestration. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2022. <https://doi.org/10.17226/26278>
3. Oschlies et al. (2025). Perspectives and challenges of marine carbon dioxide removal. Frontiers in Climate, v6, 1506181. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2024.1506181>
4. Ward et al. (2024). OCDR technical readiness review – Conceptual designs of OCDR approaches and descriptions of their system boundaries, key processes, material and energy flows and carbon balances used to review and summarise their technical readiness. SEA02-CDR Deliverable D2.1. <https://seao2-cdr.eu/results>
5. Delval et al. (2024). A common LCA framework – The considers a standardised template for inventory information, methods of setting boundary conditions, specifying functional units, scenarios of CO2 emissions intensity of energy, and methods of interpreting results. SEA02-CDR Deliverable D2.3. <https://seao2-cdr.eu/results>
6. Delval et al (2025). Life cycle assessment of ocean-based carbon dioxide removal approaches: A systematic literature review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. v224,116091. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2025.116091>
7. Ward et al. (2025). Techno-economic analysis of ocean iron fertilization. Frontiers in Climate v7, 1509367. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2025.1509367>
8. Schaap et al (2025). Autonomous sensor for in situ measurements of total alkalinity in the ocean. ACS Sensors, v10, p795-803. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.4c02349>